	CAV CAV
OGC Has Reviewed	
Executive for A&M	1 September 1948
General Counsel	
Report of Accident	STÂT(

STATINTL

- 1. A Report of Investigation on this case was not completed for the following reasons. After getting the driver's statement, sked this office for instructions on whether the injured person should be questioned or not. We retained the file at that time, as we wished to inquire whether there was a Government-wide policy for such matters. During my absence, the case was forwarded to you without full explanation of the circumstances.
- 2. It appears that there is no set policy controlling investigations in circumstances such as these. But after discussion with the Department of Justice, it seems advisable, where there is indication at the time of the accident that a claim will not be made, that no further action be taken in regard to the injured person which would suggest to him that he might have a basis for a claim.

STATINTL

5. Inasmuch as there were no outside witnesses to this accident and the driver's statement was obtained by we recommend no further action be taken at this time and that the case be filed for information in the event the injured person raises any question in the future.

LAWRENCE R. HOUSTON

Enol:

1. Memo to Exec. for A&M dtd. 5 Aug. 1948 re subj.

2. Buck Slip to Exec. for Atal dtd. 20 July 1948 re subj.

S. Namo to itd. 21 June 1948 re subj., together with enels. mentioned therein.

STATINTL